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“University is Ill:” Representations of the Italian Student Crisis in 1968 *Radar Cinematografica* Newsreels

**Abstract**

In 1968, the protest culture of the younger generation reached its peak internationally, and it was at the universities that students ignited the turmoil. That same year, Italy too intensely experienced such student protest against the political, social and cultural status quo.

This article aims at exploring how the events related to the student protests at Italian universities were portrayed in three 1968 *Radar Cinematografica* newsreel items. After a brief historical contextualization of the Italian student crisis, each newsreel item will be examined for the traces of alterity between students and the ‘establishment,’ as featured in the voice-over commentaries. In doing so, I intend to investigate the extent to which these newsreel items’ representations of the Italian student protest movement depicted the sociocultural fracture between university students and society in that year. As far as the theoretical framework of this article is concerned, it will be shaped by Michael Pickering’s concept of the ‘stereotypical Other,’ since I aim at looking into how the clash of political views and ways of thinking society is portrayed in these newsreel items by means of a process of alterization of the students and their protest actions.

**1. Introduction**

The 1968 student protests were a crucial milestone of the period of political and social uproar that shaped the late-1960s global ‘youth rebellion.’\(^1\) During this time, the youthful culture of protest became a phenomenon of international scale,\(^2\) which found in youths’ desire for provocation and irreverence the main common traits of a transnational attitude of dissent. Despite different political and sociocultural backgrounds, the younger generation took the lead in protests worldwide by claiming a challenging stance against the status quo. As William Burroughs pointed out in 1968:

> The youth rebellion is a worldwide phenomenon that has not been seen before in history. I do not believe they will calm down and be ad execs at thirty as the Establishment would like us to believe. Millions of young people all over the world are fed up with shallow unworthy authority running on a platform of bullshit.\(^3\)

This article will explore how one of the most prolific Italian newsreel production companies, *Radar Cinematografica*, depicted the student protest movement and the socio-political and cultural gap between university students and the establishment in that year. The newsreel items under analysis are “Italia — L’università è in crisi” [Italy — University is in crisis] (R0179), “Italia — L’ateneo romano alla ribalta della cronaca” [Italy — Rome university is at the fore of the news agenda] (R0184) and “Italia — L’università italiana è ancora all’ordine del giorno” [Italy — Italian university is still
on the agenda] (R0194). More specifically, this article aims to assess how these news-reels reflect Michael Pickering’s concept of the ‘stereotypical Other’ and how alterity is articulated in the constructions of the clash of sociocultural world views between activists and the establishment in Italy.

According to this author, the difference between the Self and the Other is established on the basis of a self-centered, pre-conceived notion of the Other, what the author also calls the “external Other.” The Other is, therefore, defined in opposition to the Self, based on a relation of Me/ Us/ Them. This was the relation shaped by the Italian Press in 1968, when it depicted student activists as “dangerous, left-wing, and a fascist threat to civil order,” while it portrayed public institutions “as defenders of democracy and order.” “University is ill” draws on these studies and complements them by focusing on an up-to-now less-researched Italian medium: Radar newsreels.

The three newsreel items under scrutiny were screened, respectively, in February, March, and April 1968. These items have been selected based on the fact that all were produced by the same film production company, Radar Cinematografica. This company was the only Italian newsreel producer which, in 1968, released three items entirely dedicated to the university crisis and the student protests that took place at the universities. However, Radar was not the only newsreel producer at the time. Other companies such as Compagnia Italiana Attualità Cinematografiche (CIAC) or Fulco Film produced only one or two newsreel items about this matter in that year. In fact, based on the information available in the Archivio Storico Luce, newsreels produced by the latter companies such as Cinemondo, Tempi Nostrri, Settegiorni 7G and Notizie Cinematografiche each only included one newsreel item about the student movement. This indicates that these companies did not give identical relevance to the subject. For this reason, this article will exclusively focus on items produced by Radar and focusing on university students.

This last specification is important for the purpose of this article since, besides the three selected newsreel items to be analyzed herein, Radar also screened another two that brought to the foreground the tension lived by the younger generation, this time more specifically that of high school pupils. The first was screened on March 13, 1968 and focused on the strike of the high school pupils at Liceo Parini in Milan. The second was screened at the end of that year, on December 18, and dwelt on the protest actions carried out by school pupils in the same city. These two newsreel items have therefore
been excluded from the following analysis, since they do not fit into the goal of re-searching the constructions of the student protests at the universities.

Furthermore, unlike the narrow coverage of these events by the other companies, the three newsreel items produced by Radar and examined here display a chronological coverage of the events, which allows undertaking a consistent and broad analysis about different moments of the student movement in Italy during the height of the 1968 university protests.

After an introductory historical contextualization of the Italian student crisis, I will examine each newsreel item by focusing on the portrayal of the signs of alterity of the students and their protest actions as featured mainly in the voice-over commentaries. Details pertaining to images or music will also be provided, albeit primarily to support the textual discourse analysis.

2_1968 in Italy: Universities Under Turmoil

At the end of the 1960s, Italy was one of the Western European countries where the student movement was felt in a particularly intense way. Through barricades and sit-ins, by occupying faculty buildings and shouting words of protest, as well as joining in demonstrations, Italian students perceived themselves as the alternative for a new society. They rebelled against the establishment and sought to give the go for a deep change of Italian academy and society, at a political, social and cultural level.

Throughout the 1950s and the first half of the 1960s, Italy knew great progress and underwent a process of increasing modernization. The miracolo economico [economic miracle] — as this phenomenon became historically known — paved the way to changes in a predominantly rural reality, and there was a strong investment in revitalizing economy and industry. This rapid economic growth not only increased the purchasing power of the population and generally improved their living conditions, but also contributed to sociocultural transformations in Italian society. The opportunity to experience the commodities of a consumerist society, the rise of social mobility, and the urbanization of lifestyles are some of the signs of this upsurge of liberalization. Nevertheless, from the point of view of the late-1960s’ dissident students, these economic improvements were not joined by an effective modernization of universities or public institutions. On the contrary, these still remained tied to conservative values, to the executive orders of the political rulers, and to structures of strict hierarchies.
In fact, in the context of student struggle against authority in the late 1960s, it is not strange that the call to creating alternatives for a new society came from the younger generation. This is the first post-World-War-II generation to have benefitted from an increase of compulsory schooling and an enlargement of the school and university network — two aspects that largely contributed to the qualifications of the Italian young generation of the time. As Marica Tolomelli argues:

While the school reform obliged all young people to prolong their time at school and thus to achieve the minimum level of qualification necessary to enter the workforce, the social mobility created by economic growth stimulated a continuous demand for higher education and degrees. On the one hand, these two facts led to an extraordinary expansion of the student population, particularly at the levels of secondary and tertiary education, while on the other, it contributed to the increase in the cultural, technical, and scientific capabilities of Italian youths.14

This openness to new intellectual and sociocultural horizons played a decisive role in the emergence of these new sociopolitical actors on the scene of the public protest.15 Starting at the universities and spreading to the city streets, it was mostly in the year of 1968 that the students’ protest actions resonated in the Italian media and the students’ demands for a radical change in the university reality were brought onto the public forum. Nevertheless, signs of unrest had long been felt at the universities, particularly from 1965 onward, when a reform for university education was put forth in Parliament (Proposal no. 2314).16 Students openly criticized this proposal, claiming that it would not bring solutions for problems such as curricular stagnation, reduced number of lecturers, and the absence of organization at the universities. Besides, it would not solve the matter of the deep-rooted “authoritarian pedagogical tradition”17 in the academic institutions, which, as far as the youngsters could see it, were still dominated by the unchallenged authority of teachers.18

In 1967 — the inaugural year of a long cycle of student mobilization19 — the protest gained in strength, powered by numerous actions, which were centered either on the problems of the Italian universities or on international affairs.20 Globalized access to diverse information by the media turned the students’ attention to other realities and ignited discussions at the faculties about issues such as the freeing of the Third World, the end of dictatorships, and the legitimacy of devastating American bombings on Vietnam soil.21 At the end of 1967 and the beginning of 1968, this wave of discontentment among university students had spread throughout the country and had become a nationwide phenomenon:22
By late February 1968, tens of thousands of students were on strike, hundreds of thousands of students saw no further possibilities to continue their studies in a regular fashion. The universities were blocked up, besieged or occupied; professors faced locked or empty lecture halls; regular instruction only took place on an irregular basis; exams were postponed or cancelled altogether; for all practical purposes there was no more contact between students and teaching staff. Counter courses, general assemblies and commissions, discussions, occupations and demonstrations had replaced the daily study routine of activists. And in the various protest actions ideological divisions made way for common action which targeted a common enemy in authoritarianism.23

On March 1, 1968, this opposition between the students and the establishment became more aggressive and resulted on violent confrontation between police forces and the students at the gates of the Faculty of Architecture in Valle Giulia, in Rome. This peak in student dissidence, so openly hostile, became a pivotal event that marked a change in scope and tone for the students’ behavior.24 Until then, protests had been of a peaceful nature and confined to university campi. After the confrontation at Valle Giulia, the turmoil spread onto the streets, its overt violence becoming a historical mark in the timeline of the Italian student movement:

For the first time, students did not retreat from the approaching police but, instead, directly attacked them. Violence thus became an option for students, and they pursued this option over the following days and weeks. From March 1968 onward, formerly peaceful protests often ended in open street battles with police.25

In effect, from this day forth not only did the mass demonstrations increase and flood the public streets of many Italian cities, but also the confrontations between students and the police became almost daily episodes. In contrast to the low media attention to the protests that took place between January and February, the disturbances of March 1, 1968 were extensively covered in the media, which lead to a greater projection of the student protests against authoritarianism among the public from then on.26 Far from being limited to academic demands, young activists came to the streets to defend a state reform (less centralization and less bureaucracy), claim the end of police repression, openly criticize the conservative press, and show solidarity to the causes of the working-class movement.27

The open criticism of students at the universities and the constant questioning of the status quo are, in fact, the reasons why the Italian student movement presents itself as a deep fracture between young people and society at the end of the Sixties — a sociopolitical fracture that, as will be shown next, is permanently exposed in the many
representations of the Italian student crisis presented in the 1968 Radar newsreel items under study in this article.

**3_Students vs. Establishment: The Radar Newsreel Items’ Representations**

The selected newsreel items have in common the fact that they give relevance to the student protests that took place in Italy between February and April 1968. In fact, they were screened at the height of the university crisis. Furthermore, all three were produced by Radar Cinematografica. This private Italian production company was responsible for the creation of the Radar newsreels, weekly screenings dealing with current issues that fractured public opinion, such as the student movement at Milan and Rome, the trade unions’ struggles, the laws of divorce and abortion, and the feminist movement.29

These newsreels were screened in Italy between 1965 and 1982.30 In 1968, all items to be screened in Italian cinemas had to be licensed by the Direzione Generale Cinema [General Film Direction], which was ruled by the government. Therefore, like any other newsreels at the time, the items under analysis in this article had to procure their *visti de censura* [censorship certificates], without which they could not be screened.31

News and events in the Radar newsreels were commented upon in a lively, extroverted, and humorous way.32 Particularly in the second half of the 1960s, the tastes, trends and protests of the younger generations became more and more prominent in these newsreels.33 This fact could explain why the student protests came into the limelight in the first half of 1968, and even were the opening item on the days they were screened. As I will argue next, the moments that were captured in the three selected Radar newsreel items contribute to articulate a construction of the protesters as the *Other* in opposition to the *Self*, or the established society. By established society I mean the civil society, defender of public order and stability in the academic institutions and opposed to conflicts which could call into question the sociocultural customs that had been the norm up to then. Furthermore, the three items can be viewed as stages in a gradual process of alterization based on the disapproval and condemnation of the student activists’ protest actions.

The first Radar newsreel item focusing on the Italian student uproar was screened on February 14, 1968 under the title “Italy — University is in crisis” (R0179).34 The use of the word *crisis* to describe the situation in the universities is already a sign of a
dramatic tone that runs through the whole account of the students’ protests. The voice-over starts by saying that “the university is ill,” and then makes a diagnosis of the current problems that affect it, such as the enormous increase in the number of students since the 1950s, outdated courses, old facilities, inadequate equipment, and a lack of newly hired teachers during the last 15 years. After this brief account of the difficulties, it reveals that this situation is being addressed. On the one hand, the governmental efforts to solve the inefficiency of the academic system are highlighted, with particular praise to the passing of a new law. Although no information is given about the concrete measures of this law, it is said that: “as it is known, [it] won’t solve all the problems, but it is already a sign of progress.” On the other hand, the students’ protest actions are described as “illegitimate excesses of the usual so-called Maoists,” which prevent the normal functioning of universities. Through the example of what is happening at Rome University, references are made to the locking of faculty gates and the many students who cannot keep attending classes and taking exams. As these comments are made, images are displayed of students at the faculty doors, gathered in small crowds. Others show them trying to go in through padlocked gates, or even show many protest posters, with slogans such as “Hurrah for the revolution,” “Free books,” and “Exams as quizzes: no.”

Fig. 1: Graffiti at university, Radar Cinegiornali R0179, 25′:03″. © Istituto Luce Cinecittà
At 25′: 31″, the background music of the newsreel item changes. This new piece of music, which continues until the end of the item, picks up the pace into a faster rhythm, thus increasing the dramatic tension for the viewers. The tone of the voice of the commentator also deepens as the most radical features of the student protests are listed, such as the confrontations between the students and the police in Florence, the organization of counter courses without professors in Turin, or the strike of medical students in Rome. In fact, this multi semiotic discourse contributes to the process of alterization: both the comments of the voice-over as well as the audiovisual elements join together to instill the impression of disorderly radicalism within the student movement, promoting the notion in the public opinion that the student activists were responsible for the crises lived at the universities.

The relevance given to the most radical forms of protest within the student movement is even more evident in the newsreel item “Italy — Rome University is at the fore of the news agenda” (R0184). This item was screened on March 6, 1968, five days after the confrontations between students and the police in Valle Giulia, Rome, on March 1. Here, the process of alterization occurs through the association of images of disorder and violent student behavior, heard straight away in the initial comment by the voice-over: “Strikes, occupations, demonstrations and brawls: students of the Italian universities are making the revolution.” Focusing on the protests at the main campus of the capital, a center stage is given to the students who organized “illegal occupations and forced closures,” which then made the academic system come to a halt.
This newsreel item also argues that there is disagreement amidst the students themselves, who are incapable of coming up with a common fighting strategy for the student movement: “What do they really want? They don’t agree on this matter: there are those who defend a global reform of the system, those who want the students to have full power, those who defend dialogue, and those who defend violence.”45 In fact, as the voice-over accounts for the multiple demands of the youngsters, it is possible, during several seconds, to see scenes of students pushing each other in opposite directions. The crowd moves aimlessly back and forth and even some individuals behave more aggressively towards their peers, pushing and showing them out of the way. This selection of frames, such as the one shown immediately below, together with the comments, contributes to crystalize this idea of variations among the protesters.

Fig. 3: Students at the main student campus in Rome, Radar Cinegiornali R0184, 10′:04”. © Istituto Luce Cinecittà

At the end of the clip, the confrontations of Valle Giulia are mentioned, with a direct appeal to the audience: “And you still haven’t seen anything — while at the main student campus the demonstration started to look like a boxing match, at the Architecture faculty something worse happened: a true and real fight.”47 The sensationalist tone (especially the stress on words such as boxing and true and real fight), supported by the paso-doble-like music, greatly contributes to the construction of vandalism in these confrontations. In fact, the trumpet solo and the ornaments typically associated to Hispanic cultures insufflate the clip with an exotic and wild feeling and therefore indirectly associate these characteristics with the activists. This musical maneuver helps to articulate them as the ‘stereotypical Other,’ as activists get defined in opposition to the Self,
as put forth by Pickering when referring to a *Us/Them* relation. Through this construction of student activism, protesters are thus automatically excluded from a law-abiding collective self, which disapproves violence and presents them as a major threat to public order. As a matter of fact, the chain of succession of the following images, going from activists brandishing sticks to vehicles burning, works also as a constructed crescendo of violence, aimed at increasing the perceived gulf between the orderly established society and the students who destroy property.

Fig. 4: Confrontations at Valle Giulia, *Radar Cinegiornali* R0184, 10’09’’.
© Istituto Luce Cinecittà

Fig. 5: Cars burning in Valle Giulia, *Radar Cinegiornali* R0184, 10’14’’. 
© Istituto Luce Cinecittà

In this newsreel item, the perception of the students as the *Other* and the duality *Us/Them* is also noticeable in the discursive marks throughout the commentary. The voice-over distances itself from the students not only by using the word *they* to refer to
them, but also by using pejorative adjectives in relation to student actions, such as the reference to the illegal occupations and forced closures they are undertaking. This alterization, achieved by a linguistic process which establishes opposition, strengthens the idea, to the public eye, that student activism is a synonym of radicalism. This is also clear in the critical judgment of the final statements, where the voice-over clearly disapproves of the ways they are protesting: “These excesses are certainly not the solution for the serious problems of our university.”\textsuperscript{51} In this case, the use of the phrase our university is another sign of the process of alterity that is linguistically taking place in the clip, as it reinforces the gap between the established Us and the student activists, who are not considered as belonging to the academic institution and are therefore excluded from this specific collective identity constructed around the possessive pronouns. Furthermore, it is important to notice that the student voices and their claims for change in universities are absent from the whole discourse — an element that, in itself, functions as a mark of exclusion within this process of alterization.\textsuperscript{52}

On April 17, 1968, the student protests return to the limelight in “Italy — Italian university is still on the agenda” (R0194).\textsuperscript{53} This time, the news does not focus so much on the protest actions of the students, but more on the efforts made by the government and the academic institutions to provide solutions to the problems at the universities. In fact, more than a month has passed following the confrontation at Valle Giulia, and the main concern of this newsreel item is to highlight the fact that the academic realm is once again quiet, as can be heard in the first sentence by the voice-over: “Calm is returning to our universities.”\textsuperscript{54} The iterative use of the possessive our functions as a linguistic device for the alterization of the student activists. As Pickering advocates, repetition and reiteration of alterization mechanisms are essential for the creation of the ‘stereotypical Other’ as an effective concept.\textsuperscript{55} More specifically, in the case of the newsreel items under analysis, this use of the possessive our contributes to associate the Self with the ‘order,’ as opposed to the Other — the students — constructed as the agents of unrest.

After the initial reassuring statement, the focus is on the government measure of only granting access to university campus to students and teachers. As the voice-over is highly complementary of this measure, which is argued as the right thing to do to stop the atmosphere of disorder that had recently spread throughout the universities, images such as the following can be seen during long seconds. To the sound of a non-
On the one hand, this item praises the reestablishment of the order at the universities and validates the government’s efforts to effectively bring academic life to normal functioning. On the other hand, it delivers harsh criticism to the student movement and condemnation for the more radical students and their protest actions: “The democratic regime is aware of its superiority and consequently acknowledges total freedom of speech even to non-democratic movements, acting only to give rise to po-
By positioning itself on the side of the established society, democratic and law-abiding, the voice-over finds the reason for all disturbances in the excessive politicization of the student movement. This is why it calls the more politicized students *extremists* and takes them as infiltrated elements in the academic sphere, responsible for the arousal of turmoil that, from its point of view, are totally unjustified. As this comment illustrates:

> Occupations, brawls and conflicts are the recent history of our universities: the extremists have taken advantage of the precarious academic situation, of the demonstrations organized by the best students and some of the most sensitive professors in order to transform a legitimate protest into often shameful disturbances with a purely demagogic nature.  

This condemnation of radicalism and disrespect for the order even within the student movement is further reinforced by the repetition of several scenes where students push each other and where the walls of the faculties are painted with inscriptions. Some of these images were already exhibited in previous newsreel items.

Fig. 8: Students pushing each other, *Radar Cinegiornali* R0194, 27’:09’’.  
© Istituto Luce Cinecittà.
In opposition, these images of chaos at the universities are followed by the final comments from the voice-over, praising the measures of the government in solving the academic crisis. The passing of a bill with reforms for the universities, as well as the opening of the Ministry of Education to dialogue with students and academic boards, are two aspects that are brought to our attention by showing photos of newspaper headlines such as the ones that can be seen below. They stress the availability of the Minister of Education, Luigi GUI, to find solutions to the current problems of the academic system.

Fig. 9: Graffiti “occupied faculty,” Radar Cinegiornali R0194, 26′:52″.
© Istituto Luce Cinecittà

Fig. 10: “GUI invites the university rectors to test some innovations,” Radar Cinegiornali R0194, 27′:12″.
© Istituto Luce Cinecittà
In fact, this idea of a return to order is continually repeated, and it is this repetition that contributes to articulating alterity in this newsreel item. By bringing to the foreground the many measures that the institutions (governmental and academic) have taken towards solving the university crisis, a global image is presented: one of control and increasing social tranquility. The soundtrack, mainly composed of a slow and pleasant jazz piece, helps to make up this notion of returning to normality. Additionally, student protests are represented as extremist and illegitimate events — an aspect that contributes to reinforce, in the public eye, the idea that the academic crisis is constructed as being all about turmoil and unrest.

4 Conclusion

To sum up, the three selected items contribute to a progressive construction of the student activists as outsiders to established society. In fact, each item is a step of a gradual process of alterization that depicts the actions developed by the protesters at the universities with a negative bias, portraying them as threats to the balance of social order.

The first newsreel item criticizes the climate of instability lived at the universities and conveys the idea that the responsibility for this unrest lies with the protest actions that are being carried out by the students. The normal functioning of academic life is further disrupted by an increase in violence in the student movement, as is demonstrated in the second item. Here, the disapproval of the voice-over is explicit against the most radical forms of protest, linking student activism to violent radicalism in an attempt to condemn all claims for change. This generalization is a step forward in the
viewing of students as the ‘stereotypical Other,’ i.e., those who simply do not respect public property or social order. The alterization process reaches its peak in the third item, when the universities are seen to be under control again, calm and orderly. Authority has stepped in and turmoil has been contained. On the one hand, students who do not comply with the rules are marginalized and their protest actions are depreciated. On the other hand, government’s efforts to solve academic problems are praised and the law-abiding collective self is validated.

In all three newsreels, there are common representations of student protests that shape the opposition between students and the establishment. Crisis, radicalism, violence and non-democratic extremism are ideas associated with the student movement that are constantly evoked in the comments of the voice-over. Also, the images that illustrate the speech or even the changes in the soundtrack, adopting a more dramatic or a quieter tone, contribute to reinforce the notion of disorder and turmoil linked to the protest actions of the students. Another important aspect to highlight is the lack of impartiality when it comes to divulging information. This is clear in the recurrent use of the expression our universities and the description of the protest actions as illegitimate excesses or shameful disturbances. Both mark the boundaries between Us/Them, as they reflect the view, the opinions of one of the parts against the other.

Finally, it must be said that in this articulation of the students as the ‘stereotypical Other,’ “those who are ‘othered,’” in Pickering’s terms, “are unequally positioned in relation to those who do the ‘othering.’” That is to say that students who are considered radical activists by the voice-over are not considered equal to the established society that marginalizes and ignores them. In fact, the lack of student voices, their interests and motivations, is evident, as the students’ concrete demands for a reform in the academic reality are not mentioned. Thus, the message of the ‘dominant part’ prevails, centered in blaming the students for the university crisis and in supporting the government policies and the institutions of the establishment to ensure the public order — two key elements in the creation of the many representations of the Italian student crisis of 1968 depicted in these newsreels. Through the constant evocation of the order/disorder or tranquility/radicalism dichotomy, the ideological fracture that marked the 1968 student movement is echoed, and the opposition between the students and the establishment in that year takes shape.
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