Detection of Very Long Antisense Transcripts by Whole Transcriptome RNA-Seq Analysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* by Semiconductor Sequencing Technology
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**Abstract**

The Gram-positive bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* is the causative agent of listeriosis, a severe food-borne infection characterised by abortion, septicaemia, or meningoencephalitis. *L. monocytogenes* causes outbreaks of febrile gastroenteritis and accounts for community-acquired bacterial meningitis in humans. Listeriosis has one of the highest mortality rates (up to 30%) of all food-borne infections. This human pathogenic bacterium is an important model organism for biomedical research to investigate cell-mediated immunity. *L. monocytogenes* is also one of the best characterized bacterial systems for the molecular analysis of intracellular parasitism. Recently several transcriptomic studies have also made the ubiquitous distributed bacterium as a model to understand mechanisms of gene regulation from the environment to the infected host on the level of mRNA and non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). We have used semiconductor sequencing technology for RNA-seq to investigate the repertoire of listerial ncRNAs under extra- and intracellular growth conditions. Furthermore, we applied a new bioinformatic analysis pipeline for detection, comparative genomics and structural conservation to identify ncRNAs. With this work, in total, 741 ncRNA locations of potential ncRNA candidates are now known for *L. monocytogenes*, of which 611 ncRNA candidates were identified by RNA-seq. 441 transcribed ncRNAs have never been described before. Among these, we identified novel long non-coding antisense RNAs with a length of up to 5,400 nt e.g. opposite to genes coding for internalins, methylases or a high-affinity potassium uptake system, namely the *kdpABC* operon, which were confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis. RNA-seq, comparative genomics and structural conservation of *L. monocytogenes* ncRNAs illustrate that this pathogen uses a large number and repertoire of ncRNA including novel long antisense RNAs, which could be important for intracellular survival within the infected eukaryotic host.

**Introduction**

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a non-sporulating, Gram-positive soil bacterium which has a low GC content. The ubiquitous nature of the bacterium enables it to enter the human food chain via food-processing environments. In addition, the ability of *L. monocytogenes* to grow at low temperatures and to resist harsh preservation techniques increases the risk of food contamination. By uptake via contaminated food products, *L. monocytogenes* can cause listerial infection known as listeriosis. Listeriosis often manifests with clinical symptoms such as menigitis, meningoencephalitis, septicaemia, abortion, prenatal infection and also gastroenteritis. Furthermore, high mortality rates of up to 20–30% in humans which are diseased with listeriosis (especially pregnant women, elderly and immunocompromised persons) makes *L. monocytogenes* a serious life-threatening human pathogen [1,2].

The genus *Listeria* consists of ten species, *L. monocytogenes*, *L. ivanovii*, *L. seeligeri*, *L. innocua*, *L. martii*, *L. welshimeri*, *L. rocourtiae*, *L. weihenstephanensis*, *L. grayi* and *L. fleischmannii*. *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* are the only known pathogens of this group [3–8].

Comparative whole genome sequencing of representative strains comprising the entire species of *L. monocytogenes* was performed by Kuene et al. [9]. In the genus *Listeria*, genome reduction has led to the generation of non-pathogenic species from pathogenic progenitor strains [10]. Indeed, many of the genomic regions specific for pathogenic species (such as *L. monocytogenes*) represent...
genes which are absent in non-pathogenic species (such as L. innocua and L. welshimeri) [10]. This also effects the number of conserved non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) within the genus Listeria [9,11]. Recently genome sequencing of different L. monocytogenes serotypes has been accompanied by transcriptional profiling using whole genome microarrays and RNA-seq. This has been done to examine the adaptive changes of L. monocytogenes to grow in different natural environments and to identify responsive genes and ncRNAs mediating transcriptional responses [9,11–15]. For L. monocytogenes, 262 ncRNAs have been identified yet including 134 putative sRNAs, 86 antisense RNAs (asRNAs) and 42 riboswitches [16]. Also in other bacteria, asRNA transcripts could be observed for 10% up to 50% of protein-coding genes, e.g. in Escherichia coli, Synechocystis sp. PCC6803, Helicobacter pylori [17], Bacillus subtilis [18] and Mycobacterium tuberculosis [19].

In this study we present information on transcriptomic profiling using RNA-seq, comparative genomics and structural conservation of L. monocytogenes ncRNAs. The bacterial strains have been grown in BHI broth (extracellular conditions) and in the cytosolic environment of the host cell (intracellular condition). To our best knowledge, this is the first time that Ion Torrents Personal Genome Machine (PGM) (Life Technologies) was used for RNA-seq analysis of a bacterial human pathogen by next generation semiconductor sequencing technology to detect novel small and long ncRNAs. Using this technology, we found antisense transcripts in Listeria with a length up to 5,400 nt.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The strains L. monocytogenes EGD-e [20], L. monocytogenes 10435 [21] and L. monocytogenes EGD-e ΔprfA [22] were grown in BHI broth (VWR) overnight at 37°C followed by centrifugation at 16000 g at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was used for RNA extraction. Growth of L. monocytogenes in BHI broth using a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask and were incubated at the same conditions mentioned above until OD600 nm 1.0.

Cell culture and infection model

P388D1 murine macrophage cells (ATCC CCL-46) were cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories) in 85-mm-diameter tissue culture plates. For intracellular growth assays bacteria were added to P388D1 murine macrophages monolayer at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 Listeria per eukaryotic cell. The intracellular growth assays were performed as described in [23].

RNA isolation

For RNA extraction from L. monocytogenes grown extracellularly in BHI, we applied aliquots of 0.5 ml from the same Listeria culture grown until mid-exponential phase used to infect P388D1 macrophages. The bacterial cells were treated with 1.0 ml RNA lysis buffer (Qiagen) according to the manufacturers instruction. A standard curve was generated for the used primer pairs (see supplemental material) using different copy numbers of genomic DNA from L. monocytogenes EGD-e. For each primer pair a negative control (water), RNA sample without reverse transcriptase (to determine genomic DNA contamination) and a sample with known amount of copy numbers (to test the efficiency of the reaction) were included as controls during cDNA quantification. After reverse-time PCR all samples were run on a 1.5% agarose gel to verify that only a single band was produced. The expression level of each gene was calculated by normalizing its mRNA quantity to the quantity of the mRNA of gyrB encoding gyrase B [24] for the same sample using a mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time PCR published by Pfaffl [25].
**In silico** Genome Data Analysis

In order to analyze the genome of *L. monocytogenes* (NC_003210) with RNA-seq data and to detect potential novel ncRNAs, we investigated the genome searching for: (a) proteins, (b) known ncRNAs, (c) conserved regions, (d) locally stable structures, (e) possible de novo ncRNAs, and (f) positions of known potential small RNAs from literature [13,15,26].

**Annotation of known proteins.** Protein annotation from NCBI (NC_003210) was extended by a *de novo* protein prediction with BacProt [27] based on homologous proteins of other firmicutes. Furthermore, BacProt predicts species specific novel proteins based on *Listeria* specific information on Shine-Dalgarno sequences and TATA boxes gained from the homology search.

**Annotation of known ncRNAs.** tRNAs were annotated using tRNAscan-SE (v.1.23) [28] with parameters -omlfrF. For the annotation of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), we used rnammer (v.1.2) [29] with the parameters -Sbac -mlsuttle.

For the other ncRNA classes, homology searches using BLAST (v.2.2.21) [30] (E-Value: E<10^-5) and Infernal (v.1.0.2) [31] were performed. Known sequences of the corresponding classes, which were downloaded from Rfam database (v.10.0) [32], were used as input.


**Annotation of de novo ncRNAs via RNAz.** Based on the calculated multiple genome-wide alignment an RNAz-analysis --cutoff = 0.5 (v.2.1) [34] was performed.

**Locally stable secondary structures.** Locally stable secondary structures are indicating positions for small RNAs. Those structures were calculated with RNALfold (v.2.0.7) [35] using parameters -d 2 -L 120. Hits with a total length less than 50 nt were discarded. A dinucleotide shuffling of each sequence with shuffle -d -n 1000 was performed to predict thermodynamically stable RNA structures. For further analyses only extraordinarily stable structures with a Z-score cut-off ≤−3.0 (top 5% of stable structures) were taken into account.

**Transcriptome data analysis**

Reads were clipped with fastx-clipper (v. 0.0.13) (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). All reads from one growth condition were merged to one library and then mapped to the *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e genome (NC_003210) by segemehl (v.0.1.3–335) [36] using standard parameters (-A 85 -e 5). For normalisation the number of all mapped reads (except rRNAs and tRNAs) of the two libraries were used.

**Detection of possible de novo non-coding RNAs.** For the detection of potential novel non-coding RNAs, all intergenic regions with a minimum length of 10 nt and a minimum coverage of ten reads were defined as ‘seeds’. For the analysis of long (antisense) non-coding RNAs, we merged seed regions, with a distance less than 100 nt. All candidates were scored according to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Number of reads</th>
<th>Read length</th>
<th>Mean read length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intra-1</td>
<td>3,253,920</td>
<td>3,151,751</td>
<td>6–368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra-2</td>
<td>3,412,934</td>
<td>3,322,309</td>
<td>8–374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra-3</td>
<td>3,748,637</td>
<td>3,660,315</td>
<td>8–385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra-1</td>
<td>3,165,988</td>
<td>3,079,495</td>
<td>6–365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra-2</td>
<td>3,232,796</td>
<td>3,247,113</td>
<td>6–371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra-3</td>
<td>3,710,603</td>
<td>3,660,845</td>
<td>6–362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Libraries were retrieved by next generation semiconductor sequencing technology. Number of reads before and after clipping and their mean length.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108639.t002
the characteristics of known ncRNAs of Rfam [37] and from previously identified ncRNAs [13,15,26] to indicate possible novel ncRNAs (Tab. 1, supplemental material [http://www.rna.uni-jena.de/supplements/listeria]).

For further analyses, we took only candidates with a score of 2.5 or higher into account. Additionally, we checked our candidates for possible overlaps with the 5′UTR predicted by Wurtzel et al. [15].

Results and Discussion

Full ncRNA candidate set

In this study we analyzed the transcriptomes of L. monocytogenes grown extracellularly in BHI broth and L. monocytogenes grown intracellularly in murine macrophages. Our analysis was based on three independent biological replicates for each condition resulting in six RNA-seq libraries produced by the Ion torrent (PGM) next generation sequencing platform. We obtained 3.1–3.7 million reads up to a length of 385 nt (see Tab. 2).

The experimental approach was combined with comprehensive in silico studies. To detect novel ncRNAs, we investigated various characteristic features of ncRNAs in the L. monocytogenes genome and transcriptome: seeds, GC-content, secondary structure, conservation and multiple genome-wide alignment.

(1) A seed is defined by an intergenic region covered by ≥10 reads for ≥10 nt. We searched for seeds and merged them to one candidate if they were at most 100 nt apart. We received 2074 candidate ncRNA locations. Locations longer than 50 nt, 75 nt and 100 nt were rewarded by +0.25, +0.5 and +1 respectively (see Tab. 1). If the number of reads was at least ten, the score of the ncRNA candidate was increased by 1. If the number of reads even exceeded 100, the score was again increased by 1.

(2) We analyzed the GC-content. The whole genome of L. monocytogenes EGD-e has an GC content of 38%. The ncRNAs of Rfam identified in L. monocytogenes EGD-e were found to have an GC content of 52% and 44% (with and without rRNAs/tRNAs). We decided to reward ncRNAs with GC content above 40% with 0.25, and another 0.25 points for GC content above 50%. However, previously reported ncRNAs [13,15,26] showed a lower GC content (on average 37%, 37.8% and 37.6% respectively).

(3) Using RNALfold we searched for locally stable secondary structures. For 87/143 ncRNAs described in Rfam and 118/260 ncRNA candidates previously described in the literature [13,15,26], we found a region which was identified by RNALfold as locally stable secondary structure. If a candidate was rewarded to contain a locally stable secondary structure region, we rewarded this candidate by adding +0.25 to its score.

(4) Another hint for an (ncRNA) gene is its conservation among closely related species. Therefore, we computed a genome-wide multiple sequence alignment comparing L. monocytogenes EGD-e with 12 other L. monocytogenes serotypes. If the candidate region was present in all other serotypes, the candidate was rewarded by adding another +0.25 to its score.

(5) The multiple genome-wide alignment was used as input for RNAz to predict novel ncRNAs. If a candidate was identified to be a novel ncRNA with probability above 0.9, we added another +0.25 to its score.

For the further analysis we took only those novel ncRNA candidates into account that exceeded a given threshold. We chose this threshold by checking how many of the previously described ncRNAs would have been selected. For a threshold of 2.5, 132/143 of the ncRNAs described in Rfam and 137/260 of the previously putative ncRNAs described in the literature, would have been selected. Using this threshold, we present a set of 441 potential novel ncRNA candidates. To get a full set of ncRNA locations, we added the previously described ncRNAs to our set of novel ncRNA candidates. This results in 741 ncRNA locations (since both sets are overlapping), ranging from to 10–5,347 nt (mean: 239 nt) length for L. monocytogenes. If we use our threshold also for the previously described ncRNA locations, we get a set of 611 ncRNA candidates. The list of all candidates, their genomic locations and features as described above, as well as overlaps to previously described ncRNAs and adjacent proteins is given in the supplemental material.

Comparison to previous studies

As mentioned above, 260 locations of ncRNA candidates (including start- and stop positions) were previously described in the literature [11,13,15]. We compared our 611 ncRNA candidates with the results of these previous studies (see Fig. 1).

In 2009, Toledo-Arana et al. [13] used tiling arrays and RNAs from wild type and mutants grown in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo, to present a complete operon map of L. monocytogenes. In this study, 100 ncRNA candidates were suggested. Of this 100 putative sRNAs, 77 locations were also confirmed by our observations, whereas 23 locations had a score ≥2.5 or were not even identified as seeds.

Mraheil et al. [11] reported 150 putative regulatory RNAs identified by deep sequencing with cDNA obtained from extracellularly grown bacteria and from L. monocytogenes isolated from infected macrophages using 454 pyrosequencing. From these 150 putative regulatory RNAs, we identified 102 using our method and a score threshold of 2.5. More than half of the remaining 48 ncRNAs were covered with less than 10 reads and were not part of our seeds.
Wurtzel et al. [15] performed a comparative study of L. monocytogenes and the non-pathogenic L. innocua using strand-specific cDNA sequencing. This resulted in genome-wide transcription start site maps and the identification of 183 ncRNAs. From the 183 reported ncRNAs, 100 were identified by our method, whereas half of the remaining ncRNAs were lacking expression.

Interestingly, there were a few examples where Wurtzel et al. [15] described a long candidate, which was covered by two or more candidates from our putative ncRNA set. These regions were discovered as several candidates by our method, since the expression pattern dropped down in between the candidates. The most noticeable example is anti1846 with a described length of 1571 nt, which overlaps with four of our candidates (216 nt, 141 nt, 23 nt and 227 nt).

In general, our method rather predicted longer ncRNAs which overlap with two or more previously described ncRNAs. For example, LhrC-1–LhrC-4 were reported earlier as four ncRNA candidates [15] and have been merged by our approach to a single putative ncRNA, which conforms to the first description of this ncRNA by Christiansen et al. [38] in 2006. But even though the complete region was covered, the expression was not continuously on the same level.

Nevertheless, we missed a few of the ncRNA candidates described in previous studies (see Fig. 1). This can be attributed to the differences in the experimental setup: we used a different sequencing technology, different organisms at different expression time points, and a different subsequent in silico scoring. From the previously reported ncRNA candidates that were actually covered by reads, only a small fraction was rejected by our filtering steps.

From the 611 ncRNAs detected by our method, 474 were identified here by RNA-seq for the first time. From these, 33 candidates were already known from Rfam and 441 have, as far as we know, never been reported before.

In our set of predicted ncRNAs we found some highly interesting (long-)antisense ncRNAs (lasRNAs) with up to 5,400 nt, which were induced under intracellular conditions. Most of the lasRNAs described below were validated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 2).

Internalins are very likely controlled by our detected lasRNAs.

Two long ncRNA candidates were detected as antisense transcripts of two genes coding for the proteins lmo0333 and lmo1136 (see Tab. 3, and Fig. 3A,B). Both proteins lmo0333 and lmo1136 are similar to internalin proteins (according to NCBI annotation) and contain an LRR-LPXTG-motif.

Internalins (Inls) are a large group of proteins containing leucine-rich-repeats (LRR) and are known to play an important role in host-pathogen-interactions. The bacterial cell-surface anchored proteins InlA and InlB are required for cell-, tissue- and organ-specific invasion of L. monocytogenes. InlA engages the cell-junction protein E-Cadherin as its cellular receptor and InlB uses the hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR, c-Met) for internalization [39]. Another cell-surface bound internalin is InlK, which binds to the Major Vault Protein (MVP) and thereby shields the bacterium from autophagy [40]. The secreted internalin InIC interacts directly with IKKz2, a subunit of the IkB kinase complex, which is critical for the phosphorylation of IkB and activation of NF-kB, to suppress the inflammatory response [41].

The regulation of internalins is relevant to understand the virulence of L. monocytogenes. Previous studies showed that the master virulence regulatory protein PrfA regulates several internalins, e.g., inlAB and inlC [42]. Moreover, transcriptional regulation by the alternative sigma factor SigB was reported for several internalins, e.g., inlA, inlB, lmo2673 and lmo0610 [43,44].

Using RNA-seq, we showed in this study that internalins encoded by lmo0333 (inII) and lmo1136 are subject of antisense transcriptional regulation by long non-coding antisense RNAs (lasRNAs) lmo0333 and lmo1136. Lmo1136 is presumed to encode an internalin [20] which has not been studied so far. InlI was recently described and investigated by Sabet et al. [45] in the mouse infection model, but a knockout mutant for the inlI gene

Figure 2. Validation of new long antisense (las) RNAs in L. monocytogenes by qRT-PCR analysis. (A) The presence of las transcripts was determined by strand-specific qRT-PCR analysis. Supporting the results of RNA-seq, the qRT-PCR analysis indicated that the novel lasRNA transcripts lmo0333, lmo0936, lmo0996, lmo1136 and lmo2677 were significantly up-regulated in intracellular conditions. '*' $P<0.05$; '**' $P<0.01$. (B) Strand specific qRT-PCR analysis of las respective target genes shows significant downregulation of lmo0333 (internalin), and lmo0936 (nitroflavin reductase), upregulation of lmo0996 (methyltransferase), lmo1136 (internalin) and lmo2677 (esterase) in intracellular growth conditions. '*' $P<0.05$; **' $P<0.01$. Primers used for qRT-PCR are available at the online Supplemental Material.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0108639.g002
### Table 3. Selected candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig.</th>
<th>UpOrf</th>
<th>DownOrf</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>cL(Size)</th>
<th>GC</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>RNAz</th>
<th>RNAL</th>
<th>Antisense</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antisense transcript of proteins with LRR+LPXTG motif</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>lmo0333</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0334</td>
<td>+618</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>lmo0333</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>lmo0333</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0334</td>
<td>+618</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>lmo0333</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>lmo1136</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo1137</td>
<td>-117</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>lmo1136</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novel long antisense transcript (2,400 nt–3,800 nt)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>+575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>+575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>-575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0537</td>
<td>-575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0538</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antisense transcripts of methylases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>lmo0581</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>iap</td>
<td>-618</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0581</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>lmo0581</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>iap</td>
<td>-618</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0581</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>lmo0934</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0935</td>
<td>+972</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>lmo0934</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0935</td>
<td>+972</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>lmo0936</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo0935</td>
<td>-973</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>lmo0996</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>clpE</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>lmo0996</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antisense transcript of kdpABCD operon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>lmo2676</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo2677</td>
<td>-274</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>lmo2676</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>lmo2676</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>lmo2677</td>
<td>-274</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>lmo2676</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected asRNAs and their genomic location, syntenic genes (UpOrf, DownOrf), corresponding GC-content and length (in brackets extended lengths for asRNA detection). IC – number of reads mapped to this region from intracellular library; EC – number of reads mapped to this region from extracellular library; P – number of closely related *Listeria* serotypes, with a homologous region identified in a genome-wide multiple sequence alignment; RNAz – p-Value of de novo ncRNA prediction of RNAz; RNAL – MFE of locally stable secondary structures, calculated by RNALfold; Score – Score assigned in this study. The complete list of all novel ncRNA candidates can be viewed at the supplemental page. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108639.t003
Figure 3. Transcription of selected long asRNAs (lasRNAs): (A) Internalin protein; (B) Internalin protein (note the different scales of x-axis); (C) a novel long antisense transcript with more than 2,400–3,800 nt; (D) predicted SAM-dependent methyltransferase; (E) a rRNA methylase homolog; (F) similar to a methylated DNA protein cystein methyltransferase (note the different scales of x-axis). The upper half of each transcription profile represents the plus strand and the lower one the minus strand. Number of displayed reads is limited to 20. Dark purple – detected ncRNA candidates; lightgreen – NCBI annotation; darkgreen – BacProt annotation; black – reads of the extracellular library; dark blue – reads of the intracellular library; violet – locally stable secondary structure (analyzed with RNALfold); blue – conserved region among other *L. monocytogenes* serotypes (analyzed with...
did not exhibit any difference in virulence when compared to the wild type [45].

The long antisense transcripts of internalin have a length of 163 nt and 493 nt (Tab. 3). According to the expression levels those transcripts are presumably even longer, 1214 nt and 1617 nt respectively (see Fig. 3A,B). For *lmo0333* another antisense transcript of only 15 nt length, which is covered by 121 uniquely mapped reads, was detected. The number of reads mapping to the proposed lasRNAs varies between 28 and 121 reads. Interestingly transcription seems to be specific for *Listeria* grown in macrophages (intracellular) as for the extracellular condition no expression was observed.

We quantified the extra- and intracellular expression levels by qRT-PCR for all five selected lasRNAs (see Fig. 2A) and their corresponding mRNA transcripts (see Fig. 2B). All lasRNAs were up-regulated in the intracellular compartment. mRNA targets of *las0333* and *las0936* were repressed, whereas transcription of *lmo0996*, *lmo1136* and *lmo2677* was induced under intracellular conditions. This might indicate that these newly identified lasRNAs are involved in depression of target mRNAs (*lmo0333* and *lmo0936*) and stabilization of mRNA transcripts (*lmo0996*, *lmo1136* and *lmo2677*), what has been also reported for other lasRNA transcripts, e.g. from *Prochlorococcus* [46].

**Novel long antisense transcript (2,400 nt-3,800 nt)**

An extremely long antisense transcript, spanning at least 2,400 nt (see Tab. 3), was observed antisense to *lmo0537* and *lmo0558*. Gene *lmo0557* codes for an amidohydrolase including a dimerization domain. The transcript contains four asRNA candidate loci, which might be also a single long antisense transcript. It is likely that the detected lasRNA influences its antisense genes *lmo0538* and *lmo0537*. However, this cannot be proven yet. Nevertheless, a rough inverse transcript pattern of the proteins and their expected antisense regulators is observable (see Fig. 3C). The antisense transcript of *lmo0537* seems to be specific for intracellular conditions.

**Antisense transcripts to methylases**

Another example that caught our attention are antisense transcripts of various methylases, namely *lmo0581* (a predicted SAM-dependent methyltransferase, see Fig. 3D), *lmo0935* (CspR protein, a rRNA methylase homolog, see Fig. 3E) and *lmo0996* (similar to a methylated DNA protein cystein methyltransferase, see Fig. 3F).

The antisense transcript of *lmo0581* was mainly observed for the intracellular condition (see Fig. 3D). Even though the expression is very low in some parts, it is spanning *lmo0581* (1161 nt) completely. Gene *lmo0581* itself is transcribed under extracellular and intracellular growth conditions.

The second putative lasRNA spans three genes (see Fig. 3E): it was detected antisense to *lmo0936* (similar to nitroflavin-reductase), *lmo0935* (SpoU, rRNA methylase) and *lmo0994* (characterized Fe-S protein, energy production and conversion). One striking feature of this candidate is its length of 2,500 nt. Even though the transcription rate is very low in some regions, an antisense transcript of this length is remarkable. Whereas the transcription of the lasRNA is specific for intracellular grown *Listeria*, the genes are covered with reads originating from both growth conditions.

The third methyltransferase having putative asRNA transcripts is *lmo0996* (see Fig. 3F), which is similar to methylated DNA-protein-cystein methyltransferase. This asRNA is an intergenic transcript and appears to be transcribed continuously with its syntenic genes *lmo0997* (*kdpE*, ATP-dependent protease) and *lmo0995* (predicted acetyltransferase). The intergenic transcription is observed only in intracellularly grown *Listeria*. This indicates that the reads cannot be simply attributed to extended 5’ or 3’ UTRs, but are rather a putative specific intracellular ncRNA. We observed only very low transcription for the protein gene *lmo0996*, neither for extracellular nor for intracellular conditions.

All of the above mentioned antisense transcripts are short (91–221 nt) and covered by 16–1750 reads (see Tab. 3). The read pattern of the ncRNA candidates is rather unsteady. A direct influence of the lasRNAs to the methylases can be only hypothesized.

**The kdpEDABC operon is controlled by an extremely long non-coding antisense RNA**

Among the newly detected lasRNAs we have identified a very long antisense RNA of about 3,400 nt which completely covers the region from *lmo2677* up to *lmo2680* and partially the gene *kdpB* (see Tab. 3 and Fig. 4). This lasRNA is strongly activated during the intracellular growth phase of the pathogen and was confirmed by qRT-PCR (see Fig. 2) analysis. Previously Wurtzel et al. [15] described an asRNA for *lmo2678*, which is transcribed under exponential growth at 37 °C and is controlled by SigB. The gene *lmo2678* encodes the response regulator (*KdpE*) of a two component system (TCS) together with a cognate histidine kinase (*KdpD*) encoded by *lmo2679* [47]. Under high-osmolality conditions the KdpED TCS regulates the adjacent *kdpABC* operon which is responsible for high-affinity potassium uptake as previously reported for *Escherichia coli* [48]. Several different reports described KdpED to be involved in intracellular survival of pathogenic bacteria, for example *Staphylococcus aureus*, enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Yersinia pestis* [49]. In *L. monocytogenes*, however, it does not seem to play an important role in virulence [50]. This is supported by the observation that the entire locus *lmo2677*-*lmo2681*(*kdpB*) is down-regulated by massive antisense transcription. This suggests that alternative uptake systems exist to ensure potassium uptake. Such systems have been already reported for *B. subtilis* [51]. It is, however, unclear why this long asRNAs is necessary to block the kdpED TCS and kdpABC operon under intracellular conditions. Why is a short asRNA, as described by Wurtzel et al. [15], produced during extracellular growth conditions, not sufficient to stop transcription of *lmo2678* and the kdpED TCS/kdpABC operon? We speculate that these asRNAs do not only stringently regulate transcription in cis, but also in trans.

Recently Mellin et al. [16] reported that in the presence of vitamin B12, the corresponding riboswitch induces transcriptional termination. This causes an antisense RNA *aspocR* to be transcribed as a short transcript. In the absence of vitamin B12, *aspocR* is transcribed as a long antisense RNA, inhibiting pocR expression [16]. A similar non-classical function could be also assumed for the kdpEDABC interfering las2677/las2678 RNAs.

Furthermore, there seems to be a correlation between the asRNA read pattern and the start and stop sites of the operon genes. For example, for *lmo2678/kdpE* there is an increase and decrease correlating with the start and stop positions of this (see...
It is tempting to speculate whether this lasRNA is originating from \textit{lmo2676} or not. In case it is originating from \textit{lmo2676}, the transcript might resemble an excludon. Interestingly another ncRNA candidate was detected directly downstream to \textit{lmo2677} (see Fig. 4). Nevertheless, this seems to be a separate transcript and not an extended 3'UTR, since there is an obvious decrease of reads at the end of \textit{lmo2677}. This 300 nt RNA antisense to the 5'part of \textit{lmo2676} is stronger expressed under extracellular conditions.

To confirm our newly identified asRNAs in another \textit{L. monocytogenes} serotype 1/2a strain, we have preformed additional RNA-seq experiments (unpublished RNA-seq data, online supplementary material) with the commonly used \textit{L. monocytogenes} strain 10403S grown under extra- and intracellular conditions. Comparison of presence/absence of the las0333, las0936, las0996, las1136 and las2677 showed a similar occurrence of these asRNAs between \textit{L. monocytogenes} strain 10403S and EGD-e. This implicates a conserved expression mechanism for \textit{L. monocytogenes} serotype 1/2a strains for these selected asRNA candidates.

In addition, we have also tested the transcription regulator mutant of \textit{L. monocytogenes} EGD-e \textit{ΔprfA} under the same experimental conditions described above. Our RNA-seq analysis (unpublished RNA-seq data, online supplementary material) showed that all above mentioned asRNAs were independently controlled by the master virulence regulator PrfA. Furthermore, these new RNA-seq data warrant detailed investigation in future.

**Conclusion**

We systematically used the semiconductor sequencing technology for RNA-seq to identify ncRNAs and determine the difference of extra- and intracellular growth conditions. We reported bacterial antisense transcripts with a size up to 5,400 nt. It would be interesting to use our pipeline to examine whether similar transcripts can be observed in other bacteria. Further work has to be done to fully understand the functional role of these long non-coding antisense RNAs in bacterial physiology. Particularly in the case of the \textit{kdpABCD} operon, the regulation of K\textsuperscript{+} by long non-coding antisense RNAs now deserves further attention.

Figure 4. Transcription of a selected long asRNA (lasRNA): \textit{kdpABCD} operon. Number of displayed reads is limited to 20. Dark purple – detected ncRNA candidates; lightgreen – NCBI annotation; darkgreen – BacProt annotation; black – reads of the extracellular library; dark blue – reads of the intracellular library; violet – locally stable secondary structure (analyzed with RNALfold); blue – conserved region among other \textit{L. monocytogenes} serotypes (analyzed with POMAGO); cyan blue – potential new ncRNAs predicted by RNAz; pink – annotated ncRNAs; teal green – ncRNA candidates of previous studies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108639.g004
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