Creating highly specific nucleases by fusion of active restriction endonucleases and catalytically inactive homing endonucleases
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ABSTRACT

Zinc-finger nucleases and TALE nucleases are produced by combining a specific DNA-binding module and a non-specific DNA-cleavage module, resulting in nucleases able to cleave DNA at a unique sequence. Here a new approach for creating highly specific nucleases was pursued by fusing a catalytically inactive variant of the homing endonuclease I-SceI, as DNA binding-module, to the type IIP restriction enzyme PvuII, as cleavage module. The fusion enzymes were designed to recognize a composite site comprising the recognition site of PvuII flanked by the recognition site of I-SceI. In order to reduce activity on PvuII sites lacking the flanking I-SceI sites, the enzymes were optimized so that the binding of I-SceI to its sites positions PvuII for cleavage of the composite site. This was achieved by optimization of the linker and by introducing amino acid substitutions in PvuII which decrease its activity or disturb its dimer interface. The most specific variant showed a more than 1000-fold preference for the addressed composite site over an unaddressed PvuII site. These results indicate that using a specific restriction enzyme, such as PvuII, as cleavage module, offers an alternative to the otherwise often used catalytic domain of FokI, which by itself does not contribute to the specificity of the engineered nuclease.

INTRODUCTION

Gene targeting allows the introduction of genetic elements into chosen genomic loci. The usual approach to achieve this goal is based on homologous recombination, the natural efficiency of which is quite low but can be stimulated by double-strand breaks using engineered nucleases. For gene targeting these endonucleases should recognize unique sequences and cleave DNA highly specifically, and thereby initiate double-strand break repair by induced homologous recombination with a DNA template supplied in trans (1,2).

Such nucleases with very high specificity have been engineered by rational and combinatorial strategies (3); among them are (i) zinc-finger nucleases (4–9), (ii) engineered homing endonucleases (10–13) and (iii) triple helix forming oligonucleotides linked to restriction enzymes (14) or other DNA cleavage moduls (15,16).

Combining a cleavage module with a recognition module in order to generate nucleases with high specificity was first achieved with the non-specific DNA cleavage domain of the restriction endonuclease FokI and the Ubx homeodomain (17), which was soon followed by the fusion of zinc finger motifs to the FokI cleavage domain (18). Most chimeric nucleases produced so far use the FokI cleavage domain as cleavage module (19), and the majority of them are zinc-finger nucleases. A noteworthy exception is the fusion product consisting of a catalytically inactive homing endonuclease and the FokI cleavage domain (20), which resulted in a fusion protein recognizing the homing endonuclease recognition site and cleaving the DNA in both strands 2 and 6 nt downstream from the recognition site, as expected for the Type IIS restriction endonucleases FokI. With a similar approach the 5mCpG-binding domain of a 5mCpG-specific DNA glycosylase was fused to the FokI cleavage domain, in order to obtain a chimeric nuclease that cleaves DNA at 5mCpG-sites (21). Similarly, the control protein C.BcII that represses the expression of the methyltransferase of the BcII R-M system was fused to the non-specific cleavage domain of BmrI; the chimeric nuclease recognizes specific sites in the vicinity of the C.BcII control sequence (22).

Recently, so called transcription activator like effector (TALE) nucleases (TALENs) were produced; they consist...
of TALEs as recognition module (23–26) and the FokI cleavage domain as cleavage module. Similarly as zinc-fingers, TALEs can be designed to recognize different DNA sequences (23,24) and therefore promise to be useful for the production of engineered nucleases of extended specificity (27–33).

To our knowledge no attempts have been made so far to produce chimeric nucleases consisting of a homing endonuclease (as a DNA-binding module) and a restriction enzyme (as a DNA binding and cleavage module, respectively). Such chimeric nucleases ideally should have an extended specificity and should recognize a bipartite or tripartite (in case of homodimeric restriction endonucleases, see Figure 1A) recognition site, comprising that of the homing endonuclease and that of the restriction endonuclease and cleave the DNA within the recognition site of the restriction endonuclease.

Type II restriction endonucleases are highly specific enzymes; they recognize DNA sequences of 4–8 bp in length, which means that their recognition sites will occur on average every 44–48 bp. PvuII (34), a homodimeric Type IIP restriction endonuclease, for example, recognizes the palindromic double-stranded DNA sequence CAG\#CTG and cleaves it in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\), generating blunt ends. Homing endonucleases are even more specific, as they recognize much longer sequences than restriction endonucleases (35). I-SceI (36,37), a monomeric homing endonuclease of the LAGLIDADG family, for example, recognizes an 18 bp sequence (TAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT) and in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) cleaves the DNA within this sequence. Typically, homing endonucleases cleave complex genomes at a few sites only.

A fusion protein consisting of PvuII as cleavage module and a catalytically inactive I-SceI variant (= S*) as binding module, separated by a linker L\(_x\), for example PL\(_{x}\)S*, which upon dimerization (viz. S*L\(_{x}\)P/PL\(_{x}\)S*) could be expected to recognize a 42 bp sequence. This composite site consists of one I-SceI site, one PvuII site and one reverse complement of the I-SceI site: TAGGGA TAACAGGGTAAT — CAG\#CTG — ATTACCCTGTT ATCCCTA. Cleavage should occur in the addressed PvuII site at the position indicated. This requires that the catalytic module, i.e. the PvuII part of the fusion protein is only activated after the DNA binding modules, the two I-SceI* parts of the fusion protein, have positioned the catalytic module vis-a-vis the scissile phosphodiester bonds of the PvuII site. The PL\(_{x}\)S* fusion protein should not be able to cleave an unaddressed PvuII site, i.e. a PvuII site not flanked by I-SceI sites. We demonstrate here that by an appropriate choice of the linker between PvuII and I-SceI* and by introducing amino acid substitutions into PvuII and I-SceI*, the PL\(_{x}\)S* fusion protein cleaves with high preference addressed PvuII sites.

Figure 1. (A) Cartoon representation of the fusion enzyme. The C-terminus of one subunit of a dimeric restriction enzyme (PvuII; blue) is fused via a peptide linker (gray) to the N-terminus of a catalytically inactive homing endonuclease (I-SceI; green). This fusion enzyme binds as a homodimer to a tripartite recognition site consisting of the PvuII recognition site (orange) flanked by two I-SceI sites (red) separated by an un-specified DNA (gray). The very C-terminal Strep-tag used for affinity purification is depicted in yellow. (B) Model of the engineered fusion enzyme. One subunit of the fusion enzyme consists of one I-SceI [green; pdb 1r7m (40)] and one subunit of the PvuII dimer [blue, pdb 1pvi (47)]. This model was built by aligning the recognition sites from the crystal structures of the individual proteins on a DNA composed of the PvuII recognition site (orange) and two I-SceI sites (red) separated by 6 bp up- and downstream. The C-terminus of PvuII and the N-terminus of I-SceI, separated by 2.6 nm, are indicated by red spheres; this distance must be covered by a peptide linker of suitable length.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the PvuII-I-SceI fusions

The PvuII-I-SceI fusion enzyme and variants thereof were created by fusing scPvuII (38) or wt PvuII (39) via their C-terminus to the N-terminus of a catalytically inactive variant of I-SceI (I-SceI*) which had been truncated at the C-terminus (ΔC9). These last nine amino acid residues were not resolved in the co-crystal structure of I-SceI (40). For this construction, the genes coding for scPvuII or wt PvuII with the coding sequence for a C-terminal His6-tag were connected to the gene coding for I-SceI(D44S) (41) and introduced into the vector pASK-IBA63b-plus (IBA) coding for a C-terminal Strep-tag. For further improvement of the fusion protein two active site residues of I-SceI were exchanged (D44N and D145A) according to (20) via PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis (42). The mutagenesis of selected residues of PvuII was performed in the same way for the fusion protein and for PvuII alone (for control reasons), whose gene was also introduced into pASK-IBA63b-plus. Between the genes for PvuII and I-SceI three restriction enzyme sites (NheI, BsiWI, AgeI) were introduced, replacing the coding sequence for the His6-tag (L14 → L6, where L denotes the linker). By cleaving the resulting vector with NheI and AgeI, and filling in, the linkers L5N, L14L, and L7 were obtained. The correctness of all genetic constructs was confirmed by sequencing over the entire coding region for PvuII-L5-I-SceI, containing the different linkers Lx (L14, L6, L14N, L14L, and L7).

Purification of the enzymes

The pASK-IBA63b-plus plasmids harboring the coding sequences for the fusion enzymes or PvuII alone were introduced into Escherichia coli strain XL-Gold, which had been transformed before with a plasmid encoding the PvuII methyltransferase gene (pLGM). The cells were grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 1. Protein expression was induced by adding 200 μg/l anhydrotetracycline, followed by further growth at 20°C overnight. After harvesting the cells by centrifugation the cell pellet was dissolved in 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, lyzed by sonification and centrifuged for 30 min (>17,000 g) to remove cell debris. The recombinant Strep-tagged proteins were purified using Strep-Tactin Sepharose (IBA). After washing with a high-salt (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and a low-salt (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) washing buffer, the tagged proteins were eluted with 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM desthiobiotin. The purity of the eluates was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and selected fractions were pooled and dialysed overnight (50 mM Na-phosphate, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 60% glycerol). Protein concentration was determined by A280 measurements using a molar extinction coefficient of 92,250 M−1 cm−1 at 280 nm as calculated from the amino acid composition (43). Protein concentrations are given as dimers throughout the text.

DNA cleavage assays

DNA cleavage activity assays were carried out with 50 nM of a 454 bp PCR fragment containing one I-SceI site. This substrate was incubated with either 5U I-SceI (Fermentas) in Buffer Tango (Fermentas), 100 nM PvuII or 100 nM scPLHS* in Buffer Green (Fermentas) for 45 min at 37°C. Cleavage products were separated by 6% PAGE, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by a BioDocAnalyze system (Biometra). The cleavage products obtained with scPLHS* were gel purified and sequenced.

For plasmid cleavage assays, the different target sequences (Table 2) were introduced into pAT153. The plasmid substrate was used in 8 nM concentration and incubated with 8 nM of the corresponding enzyme either for 3 h or overnight at 37°C. DNA cleavage by PvuII was performed in Buffer Green (Fermentas), by the fusion enzymes in an optimized potassium glutamate buffer [KGB (44)] (100 mM K-glutamate, 25 mM Tris–acetate, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 0.8 mM Mg-acetate, 10 μg/ml BSA, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). The cleavage products were separated on 0.8% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by the BioDocAnalyze system. Quantification of linear product formation was performed by densitometry.

For bacteriophage λ-DNA (which contains 15 PvuII sites) cleavage, the DNA concentration was chosen such, that 8 nM of PvuII sites (corresponding to 564 pM λ-DNA) were incubated with 8 nM fusion enzymes or PvuII in optimized KGB or Buffer Green, respectively, for 3 h at 37°C.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

Protein PL6S* was purified as described above followed by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column with 50 mM Na-phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA. Fractions containing pure enzyme were pooled and one-half was dialyzed against 50 mM Na-phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.47 M glyceral (high salt conditions), the other half against 50 mM Na-phosphate, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.47 M glyceral (low salt conditions).

Sedimentation velocity runs were performed at 5°C and 45,000 r.p.m. in a Beckman Coulter Optima XL-I using the absorbance optics of the analytical ultracentrifuge. The experiments were carried out in an An-50 Ti rotor using 12 mm standard double sector centerpieces filled with 400 μl sample. Under high-salt conditions protein concentrations between 0.2 and 3.25 μM were examined, under low salt conditions a concentration of 1.75 μM was used. Depending on protein concentration, UV-absorption was measured at 230 or 280 nm, respectively. Sedimentation profiles were analyzed using the program SEDFIT (45), providing a model of diffusion-corrected differential sedimentation coefficient distributions [c(s) distributions]. Partial specific volumes, buffer densities and viscosities were determined with the program SEDNTERP (46) and used to correct the sedimentation coefficients to s20,w.
Competition cleavage experiments

To test the specificity of the fusion enzymes for the addressed site, radioactively labeled PCR-fragments using \( [\alpha^{32}\text{P}] \text{dATP} \) containing either the addressed site (S6P6S, for definition see Table 2) or the unaddressed site (P) were produced. 10 nM of each of these fragments were incubated together with 10 nM of the fusion enzymes in KGB (100 mM K-glutamate, 25 mM Tris–acetate, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 0.8 mM Mg-acetate, 10 μg/ml BSA, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) at 37°C; after defined time intervals samples were taken and subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Cleavage products were analyzed with the Instant Imager system (Packard) and quantified with the Instant Imager software. Initial rates were calculated by linear regression analysis.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

The affinity of the fusion enzymes to their target sequences was determined with electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs), using radioactively labeled DNA-fragments which were produced via PCR using \( [\alpha^{32}\text{P}] \text{dATP} \). One fragment contained the addressed site (S6P6S) and another one only an I-SceI site (S). For \( K_D \) determination 2 nM of the radioactively labeled addressed substrate were incubated with fusion enzyme in concentrations ranging from 1–150 nM in KGB without Mg\(^{2+}\) for 30 min at room temperature. For the unaddressed substrate the enzyme concentration ranged from 20 to 180 nM. After adding 1 μl 87% glycerol the samples were loaded onto a 6% polyacrylamide Tris–acetate, pH 8.5 gel and subjected to electrophoresis for 2 h at 10 V/cm. The bands were visualized using the Instant Imager system (Packard). The intensities of bands representing free and bound DNA were quantified with the Instant Imager software and the percentage of bound DNA was calculated. The data were fit and the \( K_D \) determined using a non-linear regression analysis.

RESULTS

Design of the fusion enzyme

For creating a highly specific nuclease with extended specificity the type IIP restriction endonuclease PvuII was fused as the cleavage module to a catalytically inactive variant of the homing endonuclease I-SceI (= S*) as an additional binding module (Figure 1A). Due to the C2 symmetry of the homodimeric PvuII (= P) the fusion would result in a protein with the PvuII homodimer flanked by two I-SceI* molecules. The fusion protein, PLxS*, after homodimerization, should recognize a sequence consisting of the PvuII recognition sequence flanked by two I-SceI recognition sequences, S...P...S (where S and P denote the I-SceI and PvuII recognition sequences, respectively).

In the co-crystal structure, the N-terminal part of I-SceI is an \( \alpha\)-helix sticking out of the otherwise compact structure of this enzyme and could serve as an attachment point for PvuII, as shown in a model of the fusion protein in complex with DNA, which was generated using the pdb coordinates for I-SceI [pdb:1r7m (40)] and PvuII [pdb: 1pvi (47)] and ideal B-DNA (Figure 1B). In this model the target sites for I-SceI and PvuII are separated by 6 bp, which leads to all three proteins in the fusion protein approaching the DNA from the same side.

Engineering of PvuII-I-SceI fusion enzymes and finding a target with an optimum distance between the I-SceI and the PvuII recognition site

In our first construct, produced for the purpose of testing our approach, the single chain variant of PvuII, scPvuII, was fused via its C-terminal His\(_6\)-tag as a linker (LH) to the catalytically inactive I-SceI D44S variant (41). The DNA cleavage activity of the scPvuII-LH-I-SceID44S fusion protein was tested on an oligonucleotide substrate containing only an I-SceI site and no PvuII site, which we expected not to be cleaved. However, a specific cleavage pattern was obtained (Figure 2A), which after sequencing of the product (Figure 2B) turned out to be due to
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**Figure 2.** (A) Cleavage of 50 nM of a 454 bp PCR fragment containing an I-SceI recognition site. Lane 1 (-): uncleaved DNA fragment; Lane 2: cleavage products obtained by incubation of the 454 bp PCR fragment with 5 U I-SceI (Fermentas) in Buffer Tango; Lanes 3 and 4: cleavage products obtained by incubation of the 454 bp PCR fragment with 100 nM PvuII and 100 nM scPLHS, respectively, in Buffer Green. (B) Sequencing results of the cleavage product of scPLHS* from (A). The fragments were gel purified and sequenced in forward (upper panel) and reverse (lower panel) direction. The I-SceI recognition sequence is indicated by a red bar and the cleavage site, which corresponds to a PvuII ‘star’ site by an orange bar. These two sites are separated by 6 bp.
cleavage at a PvuII ‘star’ site, (CAG\_\_CAG), 6 bp downstream from the I-SceI site. ‘Star’ sites, which differ in one base pair from the canonical recognition site, are only attacked by type II restriction enzymes at high concentrations of organic solvents such as DMSO and glycerol, at alkaline pH or in the presence of Mn\(^{2+}\). The DNA cleavage result that we obtained, therefore, showed that (i) I-SceI activates PvuII to cleave a site that normally would not attack and that it directs PvuII to this site, and (ii) that a distance of 6 bp between the I-SceI and the PvuII recognition site in the substrate as predicted by the structural model (Figure 1B) is acceptable for the scPvuII-I-SceI D44S fusion protein. The DNA cleavage activity of this fusion protein was tested under various buffer conditions, among them the KGB (100 mM K-glutamate, 25 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, 10 mM Mg-acetate, 10 \(\mu\)g/ml BSA, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) which had been developed to mimic physiological conditions (44) and to which we added 100 mM KCl and in which the concentration of Mg-acetate was decreased to 0.8 mM, in order to more closely approach the KCl and Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration prevailing in vivo. In this buffer the largest difference in activity between scPvuII and scPLH S* (Supplementary Figure S1) was observed, which means that the fusion construct cleaves an addressed site much better than scPvuII alone does. All further cleavage experiments of the fusion enzymes were performed in the optimized version of KGB (100 mM K-glutamate, 25 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 0.8 mM Mg-acetate, 10 \(\mu\)g/ml BSA, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol).

For all other constructs the homodimer of PvuII was used instead of the single chain variant, which means that the fusion proteins contains two I-SceI* binding modules rather than one as in the scPvuII fusion proteins. The two I-SceI* modules are linked via their N-termini to the C-termini of the two PvuII subunits. For this construct, we also used another I-SceI variant, namely I-SceI\(\Delta\)C9,D44N,D145A; this variant has amino acid substitutions in both catalytic centers and is C-terminally truncated. For the amino acid substitutions in the catalytic center it was shown before, that this combination improves the solubility of I-SceI (20). Since fusion proteins with wtPvuII are homodimeric, their recognition site will be longer and they are likely to be more specific, wtPvuII and the I-SceI\(\Delta\)C9,D44N,D145A were fused using different linkers (L\(_H\), L\(_N\), L\(_\star\), and L\(_\ldots\)) (Table 1) which all could cover the \(\approx\)26 A distance between the C-terminus of PvuII and the N-terminus of I-SceI in our structural model (Figure 1B). These fusion proteins were expressed and purified. To test these enzymes, substrates with different distances between the I-SceI and the PvuII recognition sites (Table 2) were produced. Considering the structural model and the DNA cleavage results with scPLH (Supplementary Figure S1) was observed, which means that the fusion construct has no preference for one of the sites due to sequence context. The fusion enzymes in contrast cleave preferentially the addressed site (S6P6S) resulting in the fragments indicated with an arrow in Figure 3B. The unaddressed site (\(^\_\)P) is also cleaved but much more slowly; PL\(_A\)S* and PL\(_L\)S* cleaved the unaddressed site with an at least two orders of magnitude slower rate than the addressed site (Table 4). Still, a plasmid substrate with only an unaddressed PvuII site (Figure 3A) which is quickly cleaved by wtPvuII is also cleaved by the fusion enzymes, albeit with a lower rate. PL\(_A\)S* and PL\(_L\)S* show the slowest unaddressed cleavage rate. For this reason these variants were used for further optimization and characterization.

### Table 1. Overview of the linkers used in the engineered PvuII-I-SceI fusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linker name</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L(_H)</td>
<td>10 AA</td>
<td>GSHHHHHHGT</td>
<td>Engineered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(_6)</td>
<td>6 AA</td>
<td>ASRTTG</td>
<td>Engineered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(_N)</td>
<td>10 AA</td>
<td>ASGGGSGSGS</td>
<td>Engineered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(_\star)</td>
<td>10 AA</td>
<td>ASTKQLVKSG</td>
<td>FokI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(_\ldots)</td>
<td>10 AA</td>
<td>ASGDSGSDSG</td>
<td>Engineered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Overview of the substrates used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Recognition sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>I-SceI: TAATGGGACAATAGGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>PvuII: CAGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4P4S</td>
<td>I-SceI-4 bp-PvuII-4 bp-I-SceI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6x6S</td>
<td>I-SceI-6 bp-KpnI-6 bp-I-SceI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>I-SceI-6 bp-PvuII-6 bp-I-SceI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6P6S_P</td>
<td>I-SceI-6 bp-PvuII-6 bp-I-SceI-763 bp-PvuII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8P8S</td>
<td>I-SceI-8 bp-PvuII-8 bp-I-SceI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The substrates P and S6P6S are also called unaddressed and addressed substrates, respectively.
ruled out, therefore, that the protein exists as a monomer in solution, since it sediments faster than a sphere of the corresponding mass. For the dimer a frictional ratio of $f/f_0 = 1.58$ can be calculated from the sedimentation coefficient. As hydrated spherical proteins are expected to yield frictional ratios in the range of 1.1–1.2 (49), the shape of PL$_6$S* must deviate substantially from a sphere, suggesting that it is an elongated homodimer and/or contains flexible regions that increase the frictional coefficient of the protein. Under low salt conditions the sedimentation coefficient increased slightly to $s_{20,w} = 4.6$ S (Figure 4), and a molar mass of about 80 kg/mol was obtained from the $c(s)$ analysis. This indicates that the PL$_6$S* homodimer formed under low salt conditions is slightly more compact than under high salt conditions.

Identification of residues in PvuII that increase the specificity of the fusion enzyme

The fusion enzymes PL$_6$S* and PL$_7$S* are still able to cleave unaddressed PvuII-sites (Figure 3A), albeit with much lower rate than the addressed sites. There are in principle two possibilities to increase the preference of the fusion enzymes for the addressed site, which both rely on making the cleavage activity of the cleavage module PvuII dependent on the binding of the additional binding modules I-SceI* to the I-SceI site: (i) either by decreasing the activity ($k_{cat}$, $K_m$) of PvuII so that it cannot cleave DNA if not positioned by I-SceI*, or (ii) by weakening the dimer interface of PvuII to make a proper subunit–subunit interaction, which is a prerequisite for DNA cleavage, dependent on binding of the I-SceI* modules to their target sites.

For the first approach (i) the mutations T46G, known to be responsible for high fidelity (Zhenyu Zhu, New England Biolabs, personal communication) and Y94F, known to have a decreased Mg$^{2+}$ binding ability (50) were introduced into PvuII (Figure 5, right panel) and in the fusion enzyme. Indeed the PvuII(T46G,Y94F) variant showed an ~100-fold decrease in activity compared to wtPvuII (data not shown). To weaken the PvuII dimer interface (ii) without affecting the catalytic activity too much we decided to choose residues in the N-terminal $\alpha$-helices (Figure 5, left panel) which form close contacts between the two subunits and are far away from the active center (Figure 5, lower panel). The residues L12 and H15 were substituted by Glu and Asp, respectively, and

---

Figure 3. Cleavage activity of fusion enzymes with different linkers on linearized DNA. The DNA substrate contains either (A) a single PvuII site (light gray bar) or (B) the tripartite recognition site consisting of a PvuII site (light gray bar) flanked by two I-SceI sites (dark gray bars) each 6 bp away, and an additional unaddressed PvuII site. The experiment was performed under optimized conditions for the corresponding enzymes, namely Buffer Green for PvuII and optimized KGB for the fusion enzymes. The products of addressed cleavage at the tripartite recognition site are indicated by arrows and the products of unaddressed cleavage at PvuII sites by asterisks. The percentage of unaddressed cleavage is shown at the bottom.

Figure 4. Sedimentation coefficient distributions in an analytical ultracentrifugation experiment with PL$_6$S* at different salt concentrations. PL$_6$S* at concentrations of 3.3 or 3.5 $\mu$M was analyzed in sedimentation velocity experiments at 500 mM NaCl (solid line) or 100 mM NaCl (dashed line), respectively. $c(s)$ analysis using the program SEDFIT yielded a single sedimenting species with $s_{20,w} = 4.4$ S under high salt and $s_{20,w} = 4.6$ S under low salt conditions, indicating that PL$_6$S* is a stable homodimer. The purity and stability of the protein analyzed had been determined before by SDS-PAGE of 1.7 $\mu$g protein diluted in either low or high salt buffer (see insert). The theoretical molecular weight of one subunit of PL$_6$S* is 46.7 kDa.
Figure 6. B of 9.7 nM for I-SceI (51). For this purpose internally radiolabeled PCR fragments containing either the sites S6P6S or S were used for gel shift experiments under non-cleavage conditions. The affinity of the fusion enzymes for the S6P6S site was slightly lower than the affinity of I-SceI for its recognition site. A ‘stand-alone’ I-SceI site (S), however, is bound by the fusion enzyme with considerably lower affinity than the tripartite site (S6P6S) (Table 3). This indicates that PvuII is likely to contribute to the binding ability of the fusion enzyme to its specific site but disturbs the binding of I-SceI to a ‘stand-alone’ I-SceI site.

Optimizing the spacer length within the tripartite target sites with different distances between the PvuII and I-SceI sites

Before testing the PvuII variants with a proposed weakened dimer interface in the fusion constructs, we wanted to find out which linker is the preferred one in PvuII-I-SceI fusion proteins for cleaving substrates with different distances between the PvuII site and the I-SceI site. For this, the linker variants PL14S*, PL6S*, PL3S*, PL1S* or PL5S* were assayed for cleavage activity on plasmid DNA substrates containing the target sites S4P4S, S6P6S, S6x6S or S8P8S (Table 2 and Figure 7). All variants cleave the addressed substrate with the 6 bp distance between I-SceI and PvuII site (Figure 7B) with nearly the same efficiency; in contrast, the substrate, which does not contain a PvuII target site (S6xS) between the I-SceI sites, is not cleaved by any of the variants (Figure 7C). For the substrates with a decreased (S4P4S, Figure 7A) or increased (S8P8S, Figure 7D) distance between the I-SceI and PvuII sites differences in the cleavage efficiency were observed for the linker variants. The variants containing a charged linker (PL1S* or PL5S*) have a preference for the S4P4S substrate, PL4S* and PL5S* cleave the substrates with the following preference: S6P6S > S8P8S > S4P4S. PL5S* shows the preference predicted from the model (Figure 1B): S4P4S < S6P6S > S8P8S. For this reason the amino acid substitutions L12E, P14G and H15D were introduced only into PL6S*.

Comparative analysis of the activity of PvuII-I-SceI fusion proteins in DNA cleavage assays

The specificity of these variants was tested and as expected from the kinetic parameters for PvuII(L12E), PvuII(P14G) and PvuII(H15D) (Supplementary Figure S1), P(L12E)L6S* (Figure 8A), P(P14G)L6S* (Figure 8B) and P(H15D)L6S* (Figure 8C) show a higher specific activity compared to wtPvuII and the variants P(T46G, Y94F)L6S* (Figure 6B) and P(T46G, Y94F)L6S* (Figure 6D) also have a much lower activity. However, they show only a 10–20-fold faster addressed than unaddressed cleavage rate (Figure 6E and Table 4).

Since I-SceI is the part of the fusion enzymes which should be mainly responsible for specific DNA binding, we wanted to investigate, whether the affinity of the fusion enzymes to the tripartite recognition site (S6P6S) is higher than the affinity for a ‘stand-alone’ I-SceI recognition site (S) and is similar to the reported KD of 9.7 nM for I-SceI (51). For this purpose internally radiolabeled PCR fragments containing either the sites S6P6S or S were used for gel shift experiments under non-cleavage conditions. The affinity of the fusion enzymes for the S6P6S site was slightly lower than the affinity of I-SceI for its recognition site. A ‘stand-alone’ I-SceI site (S), however, is bound by the fusion enzyme with considerably lower affinity than the tripartite site (S6P6S) (Table 3). This indicates that PvuII is likely to contribute to the binding ability of the fusion enzyme to its specific site but disturbs the binding of I-SceI to a ‘stand-alone’ I-SceI site.

Characterization of fusion enzymes containing different amino acid substitutions in the cleavage module PvuII

We tested the effects of the amino acid substitutions T46G and Y94F in the fusion enzymes containing the L6 or the L12E linker by competition experiments with two internally labeled PCR fragments containing either the addressed site (S6P6S) or the unaddressed site (P) in equimolar concentration of enzyme and the two substrates (cPL6S*: cS6P6S: cP = 1:1:1) over 3 h. The fusion enzymes PL6S* (Figure 6A) and PL1S* (Figure 6C) containing wtPvuII as cleavage module cleave the addressed site by factors of ~300 and 100 faster than the unaddressed site, respectively (Figure 6E and Table 4). Consistent with our finding that the PvuII(T46G, Y94F) variant has a highly reduced catalytic activity compared to wtPvuII, the variants P(T46G, Y94F)L6S* (Figure 6B) and P(T46G, Y94F)L6S* (Figure 6D) also have much lower activity. However, they show only a 10–20-fold faster addressed than unaddressed cleavage rate (Figure 6E and Table 4).

Since I-SceI is the part of the fusion enzymes which should be mainly responsible for specific DNA binding, we wanted to investigate, whether the affinity of the fusion enzymes to the tripartite recognition site (S6P6S) is higher thereby generate electrostatic repulsion between the two subunits. Furthermore the central residue of this helix P14 was substituted by Gly in order to affect the bending of the helix and by this reduce the interface contacts. These variants were introduced individually into wtPvuII and in the fusion enzyme as well. The PvuII(L12E), PvuII(P14G) and PvuII(H15D) variants were characterized with respect to their kinetic parameters, which showed, that the mutations had only a slight influence on the catalytic activity (Supplementary Table S1).
sites could be even higher, since for none of them unaddressed cleavage could be detected, even after overnight incubation (data not shown), which makes these fusion proteins highly specific for the addressed substrate. We determined also the binding affinity of these variants for the S6P6S substrates. The $K_D$-values obtained for P(L12E)L6S*, P(T46G,Y94F)L6S*, P(L+S*) and P(T46G,Y94F)L+S* were of the same magnitude as the $K_D$ of I-SceI (Table 5). On a substrate containing only an I-SceI site no specific binding could be detected (Supplementary Figure S3).

Figure 6. Competition cleavage experiments with fusion enzyme variants. The internally $^{32}$P labeled DNA fragments contain either the tripartite recognition sequence S6P6S (a) or a single PvuII site (u), at equimolar concentrations of 10 nM of both substrates. The two substrates were incubated with (A) PLsS*, (B) P(T46G,Y94F)LsS*, (C) PLsS* and (D) P(T46G,Y94F)LsS* for 3 h at 37°C; after defined time intervals samples were withdrawn from the reaction mixture and analyzed by gel electrophoresis. The cleavage product obtained by cleavage at the addressed tripartite recognition site is indicated by an arrow and the position of the expected cleavage product obtained by cleavage at the unaddressed PvuII site by an asterisk. (E) The autoradiograms (A–D) were quantified and the percentage of cleavage was plotted against time. Filled symbols show the addressed cleavage and open ones the unaddressed cleavage.

Table 3. Summary of the binding constants $K_D$ determined for PLsS*, P(T46G,Y94F)LsS*, PLsS* and P(T46G,Y94F)LsS* on DNA substrates containing the tripartite site (S6P6S) or a single I-SceI site (S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_D$ (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLsS*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>144 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(T46G,Y94F)LsS*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>119 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLsS*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>212 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(T46G,Y94F)LsS*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
engineered fusion enzymes would cleave high molecular DNA at unwanted sites. For our fusion proteins PL$_6S^*$, the most critical unwanted cleavage would be unaddressed cleavage i.e. cleavage at PvuII sites not addressed by I-SceI sites. For this purpose we used bacteriophage /C21-DNA, which contains 15 PvuII sites, in a cleavage experiment (Figure 9). All variants produced, namely the different linker variants, the T46G, Y94F variants of PvuII alone or in the fusion enzyme context and the variants L12E, P14G and H15D also of PvuII alone or in the fusion enzyme context were used in a 1:1 ratio of enzyme over PvuII sites to see, if genomic DNA is a target for the meganuclease. The variants that we prepared at the beginning of our study (PL$_{H}$S*, PL$_{S}$*, PL$_{N}$S*, PL$_{+}$S*, PL$_{-}$S*) show cleavage of /DNA, even though not as complete as observed with wtPvuII. The variants with a decreased catalytic activity [P(T46G,Y94F)L$_6S^*$, P(T46G,Y94F)L$_7S^*$] show no cleavage of /DNA, but since PvuII(T46G,Y94F) also shows reduced cleavage activity compared to wtPvuII, the specific effect (i.e. absence of cleavage) is not as high as for P(L12E)L$_6S^*$ and P(P14G)L$_6S^*$ which also do not cleave /DNA, whereas PvuII(L12E) and PvuII(P14G) do so. Surprisingly, P(H15D)L$_6S^*$ shows some cleavage, although it did not cleave the unaddressed substrate in the competition experiment (Figure 8C). We have verified the specificity of some of our fusion constructs also in vivo by demonstrating that E. coli cells producing fusion proteins are viable even in the absence of PvuII methyltransferase.

We were able to show that the variants P(L12E)L$_6S^*$ and P(P14G)L$_6S^*$ do not cleave PvuII sites on high molecular weight DNA and wanted to see finally, if these fusion enzymes have a preference for a certain distance between the I-SceI and PvuII sites. For this purpose, plasmid DNA containing either the S4P4S, S6P6S or S8P8S target was tested for cleavage by P(L12E)L$_6S^*$, P(P14G)L$_6S^*$ and P(H15D)L$_6S^*$. The amount of linear DNA produced was determined by densitometry and the mean of three experiments was plotted (Figure 10). All enzymes cleave S6P6S preferentially, but to different degrees. Whereas P(L12E)L$_6S^*$ has a ~2-fold preference for S6P6S over S4P4S and S8P8S, and P(H15D)L$_6S^*$ a 1.5- and 2-fold preference over S4P4S and S8P8S, respectively, P(P14G)L$_6S^*$ shows a ~8–10-fold preference for S6P6S over the other substrates. Taking all the results obtained together, P(P14G)L$_6S^*$ is the fusion enzyme of choice, which cleaves highly preferentially the addressed substrate in the presence of unaddressed substrate, does not cleave unaddressed PvuII sites in /DNA and has a specificity for substrate with two I-SceI sites and a PvuII site in the middle separated by 6 bp on each site. These properties make this enzyme a suitable candidate to be tested for gene targeting in further in vivo experiments.

**DISCUSSION**

Nucleases that are specific enough to recognize and cleave only unique DNA sequences in complex genomes are needed for the purpose of introducing new genetic material into complex genomes by homologous recombination. In gene targeting, new DNA sequences (e.g. complete genes) are introduced by making a specific double-strand cut at the locus of interest, which is repaired by recombination with the new DNA supplied in trans. There are two options to achieve this: (i) to use a nuclease that recognizes a sequence that had been specifically introduced into the genome at the locus of interest in a previous genome engineering experiment, or (ii) to reprogram a specific nuclease, or to program a non-specific nuclease to recognize a
certain sequence at the locus of interest. We have pursued
here the first option, namely to produce a nuclease with a
unique specificity that could be used in particular for
introducing new genes into genomes, in which recognition
sequences for highly specific nucleases are already present
or had been introduced for future targeted genome opti-
mization as it has been done for example in agronomic
crops (52,53).

Nucleases with extended specificity so far have been
produced mainly by engineering homing endonucleases
(‘meganucleases’) or by fusing a non-specific nuclease, typ-
ically the DNA cleavage domain of the FokI restriction
endonuclease and three to four zinc fingers (→ZFN). In
the new approach described here, we utilize the same
architecture as utilized for ZFNs, triple helix forming
oligonucleotide-linked nucleases, or TALENs, in which a
DNA-binding module is fused to a DNA-cleavage module
to generate an enzyme, whose distinct properties can be
exploited to target a unique sequence in complex genomes.
The binding module of choice for our purpose is derived
from an inactive (denoted by *) variant of the monomeric
homing endonuclease I-SceI (I-SceI*) that specifically rec-
ognizes an 18-bp sequence, but because of amino acid
substitutions in the active site (Asp44→Ser or Asn;
Asp145→Ala) is only competent in specific DNA
binding but not in cleavage [cf. (20)]. In addition,
I-SceI* lacks the nine C-terminal amino acid residues,
which are not resolved in the co-crystal structure (40).
For the DNA-cleavage module, we employ variants of
the homodimeric type II restriction endonuclease PvuII.
Different from the non-specific cleavage domain of FokI
in the ZFNs, PvuII in our fusion proteins not only
supplies the catalytic function, but also, in principle,
could increase the overall specificity. Our PvuII-I-SceI*
fusion proteins are homodimers (as shown by analytical
ultracentrifugation), consisting of the central PvuII
homodimer, whose subunits are fused at their C-termini
to the N-termini of I-SceI*, which carries a C-terminal
Strep-tag (Figure 1A). They bind the tripartite recognition
site, consisting of a central PvuII recognition site and two
flanking I-SceI recognition sites with higher affinity than a
‘stand-alone’ I-SceI recognition site.

A critical issue for the usefulness of engineered nucle-
ases with extended specificity, in general, is that cleavage
at so-called ‘off-target sites’ must be prevented (54). For
PvuII-I-SceI* fusion proteins it is mandatory that they do
not attack an unaddressed PvuII site (i.e. a PvuII site not
flanked by I-SceI sites), and should prefer PvuII sites
flanked on both sides with an I-SceI site over PvuII sites
flanked on only one side with an I-SceI site, although we
do not regard cleavage at PvuII sites flanked on only one
side with an I-SceI site as critical, given the length of the

Figure 8. Competition cleavage experiments with the fusion enzyme
variants containing amino acid substitutions in the dimer interface and
internally 32P labeled DNA fragments containing the addressed
tripartite recognition site (S6P6S) or the unaddressed PvuII site
alone (P). The experiment was performed as described in Figure 5.

Figure 8. Continued
The autoradiogram is shown in the insert, the result of the quantifica-
tion is shown as a reaction progress curve (percent cleavage vs. time):
(A) P[L12E]L6S*, (B) P[P14G]L6S* and (C) P[H15D]L6S*. The arrow
indicates the cleavage product obtained by addressed cleavage (closed
circle) and the asterisk the position of the expected cleavage product of
unaddressed cleavage (open circle).
combined recognition sequences of I-SceI and PvuII. We have employed a 2-fold strategy to achieve the required specificity of our fusion protein using either different linkers or introducing different amino acid substitutions into PvuII.

In a preliminary experiment, we had linked a catalytically inactive version of I-SceI via its N-terminus to the C-terminus of the single chain version of His6-tagged PvuII (scPvuII), in order to confirm that such a construct would not attack a DNA with one I-SceI site and without a PvuII site. To our surprise it turned out that the DNA was cleaved. Sequencing of the product identified the site of cleavage as a PvuII ‘star’ site (CAGCAG), which differs from a canonical PvuII site (CAGCTG) by a single base pair. Furthermore, at low Mg$^{2+}$ ('physiological') concentrations the PvuII-I-SceI* fusion protein cleaves a plasmid DNA containing a composite site consisting of an I-SceI site and a PvuII site 8 bp downstream much better than scPvuII. These results showed that the scPvuII-I-SceI* fusion protein is functional and that I-SceI* can direct PvuII to a site that is related to a PvuII site. This result prompted us to pursue our experiments with fusion proteins consisting of wtPvuII and I-SceI*.

We had generated a structural model for PvuII-I-SceI* (Figure 1B). It suggested that the fusion protein designed to cleave a quasi-palindromic site consisting of a PvuII site flanked at a distance of ~6 bp by two I-SceI sites oriented in opposite directions could be engineered with two linkers between the N-terminus of I-SceI* and the C-terminus of PvuII, ~6–10 amino acid residues in length. This fusion protein would approach the DNA from one side. Of course, the distance of the PvuII and I-SceI sites and the linker length and its amino acid composition needed to be optimized. It turned out that a 6 bp distance and a linker of 6 amino acids (ASRTTG) proved to be optimal. A flexible 10 amino acid linker (ASTKQLVKSG) was also acceptable.

Since PvuII-I-SceI* fusion proteins even with optimized linkers were not absolutely specific for the addressed site, we introduced amino acid substitutions into I-SceI* to increase the contribution of I-SceI* to specificity relative to PvuII, both in the ground ($K_m$) and in the transition state ($k_{cat}$). It is interesting to note that slowing down catalysis by introducing the T46G and Y94F amino acid substitutions into PL6S* and PL+S* results in a decrease of specificity [compare for example PL6S* with PL6S* in Table 4].

Table 4. Summary of activities on addressed and unaddressed substrates and resulting specificities determined by competition cleavage experiments for all tested fusion enzyme variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Activity (nM min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Addressed</td>
<td>Unaddressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL6S*</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.0026 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL+S*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(T46G, Y94F)L6S*</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(T46G, Y94F)L+S*</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.0023 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(L12E)L+S*</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(P14G)L+S*</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(H15D)L+S*</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Summary of the binding constants determined for P(L12E)L+S*, P(P14G)L+S* and P(H15D)L+S* on DNA substrates containing the tripartite site (S6P6S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_D$ (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P(L12E)L+S*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(P14G)L+S*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(H15D)L+S*</td>
<td>S6P6S</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$carried out in triplicate. $b$below detection limit.
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**Figure 9.** DNA cleavage experiments with all fusion enzymes and all PvuII variants and bacteriophage λ-DNA, which harbors 15 PvuII sites. DNA and enzyme concentrations were chosen such, that the molar ratio between enzyme and PvuII sites is 1:1.

![Figure 10](http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Figure 10.** Evaluation of DNA cleavage assays with three different plasmid substrates containing the sites S4P4S (light gray), S6P6S (gray) and S8P8S (dark gray). The variants PL12E)L6S*, P(P14G)L6S* and P(H15D)L6S* were tested for cleavage of these substrates in equimolar concentrations of DNA and protein for 3 h at 37°C under optimized conditions. The amount of linear DNA was estimated by densitometry of the ethidium bromide stained agarose gel and the mean and standard deviation were calculated and plotted ($n = 3$).
We have produced a fusion protein consisting of a LAGLIDADG homing endonuclease and a type IIF restriction enzyme for highly specific DNA cleavage as it is required for gene targeting. NucleaseS with extended specificity that contain a DNA binding module fused to a DNA cleavage module invariably make use of the non-specific DNA cleavage domain of the type IIS restriction endonuclease FokI, as exemplified by the zinc finger nucleases. They have the advantage of being programmable by choosing appropriate zinc fingers to recognize series of trinucleotide sequences. The ZFN concept has been very successful, in particular after amino acid substitutions were introduced into the subunit-subunit interface so much that no unaddressed DNA cleavage could be observed anymore. Presumably, the amino acid substitutions in the PvuII dimer interface impair DNA access for the catalytic center of PvuII in the fusion enzyme if the I-SceI/DNA interaction does not take place and thereby cannot support productive dimer formation of PvuII on the DNA.

In summary, given the detection limit of our DNA cleavage experiments, the preference of our best PvuII-I-SceI fusion proteins is better than 1000 for an addressed site over an unaddressed site.

CONCLUSIONS

We have wondered, whether other DNA cleavage modules could be used instead of the non-specific DNA cleavage domain of FokI to widen the repertoire of modules to be combined in engineering chimeric nucleases. In principle, also a specific DNA-cleavage module, for example a type IIF restriction endonuclease, could be used, as long as one can make DNA cleavage absolutely dependent on the binding of the DNA binding module.

In this case one could take advantage of the specificity mediated by the cleavage module in addition to the DNA recognition by the DNA binding module. Our study shows that this is possible, as demonstrated for the tripartite site comprising the recognition sequences of the I-SceI homing endonuclease and the PvuII restriction endonuclease. To achieve the needed extraordinary specificity it is necessary to optimize the DNA binding module, the linker and the DNA cleavage module. Presumably, every fusion construct must be optimized individually. Our fusion enzyme is not freely programmable. However, for gene targeting purposes, one may introduce extended recognition sequences sites in the genome of interest at a so called ‘safe harbor’ locus, which can then be used reliably for genome engineering.
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